## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

#### Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)
	)
v.	) No. 1:10cr485 (LMB)
	)
JEFFREY ALEXANDER STERLING	)

# RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES TO DEFENDANT'S THIRD CIPA SECTION 5 NOTICE [UNCLASSIFIED]

The United States, through the undersigned counsel, hereby responds to the defendant's third notice filed pursuant to Section 5 of the Classified Information Procedures Act (CIPA), 18 U.S.C. App. III § 5.

The third notice filed under CIPA Section 5 (Docket 92) is almost identical to the prior two (Docket 82 and 83). The defendant notices his intent to disclose classified information in connection with the trial and pretrial proceedings in this case but, instead of specifically designating the information to be disclosed, attaches two documents provided in discovery (in this instance, two FBI 302 reports).

In our response to the first two CIPA notices (Docket 89), we asked that the Court find that these two notices are inadequate and do not warrant a CIPA Section 6 hearing. We adopt the same arguments here. And, as noted in our prior response, it appears that the filings may be predicated, at least in part, on fears by defense counsel that they cannot conduct pre-trial interviews with potential witnesses which involve classified discovery without violating the Protective Order Regarding Classified Information (Docket 38). Indeed, in its reply to the government's response (Docket 90), the defense states that, "unless this information is

declassified or substituted, counsel cannot use the information to interview a witness or to obtain later leads without running afoul of the Court's Protective Order or the strictures of Title 18."

Attached to this pleading is a letter to defense counsel in which the government outlines procedures for conducting such interviews involving classified information. We believe that these procedures are consistent with the Protective Order and provide defense counsel with the ability to conduct the pretrial investigation referenced in its three CIPA notices.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For these reasons, the United States requests that the Court find that the defendant has failed to comply with the requirements of CIPA Section 5(a). Alternatively, the United States requests that the Court hold a hearing pursuant to CIPA Section 6(a) to determine the use, relevance and admissibility of the classified information contained within the documents submitted by the defendant in the CIPA Section 5 notice filed April 28, 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

Neil H. MacBride United States Attorney

William M. Welch II Senior Litigation Counsel Criminal Division United States Department of Justice

Timothy J. Kelly
Trial Attorney
Public Integrity Section
United States Department of Justice

James L. Trump Senior Litigation Counsel United States Attorney's Office Eastern District of Virginia

By: \_\_\_\_\_\_

James L. Trump
Attorney for the United States of America
United States Attorney's Office
Justin W. Williams U.S. Attorney's Building
2100 Jamieson Avenue
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Phone: 703-299-3726 Fax: 703-299-3981

Email Address: jim.trump@usdoj.gov

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have caused an electronic copy of the foregoing *Response of the United States to Defendant's Third CIPA Section 5 Notice [Unclassified]* to be served via ECF upon Edward B. MacMahon, Jr., and Barry J. Pollack, counsel for the defendant.

By: /s/
James L. Trump
Attorney for the United States of America
United States Attorney's Office
Justin W. Williams U.S. Attorney's Building
2100 Jamieson Avenue
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Phone: 703-299-3726

Fax: 703-299-3981

Email Address: jim.trump@usdoj.gov

#### Case 1:10-cr-00485-LMB Document 93-1 Filed 05/09/11 Page 1 of 3



### **U.S. Department of Justice**

United States Attorney's Office

Eastern District of Virginia

James L. Trump Assistant United States Attorney 2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314 jim.trump@usdoj.gov (703) 299-3726

May 9, 2011

Edward B. MacMahon, Jr. 107 East Washington Street P.O. Box 903 Middleburg, Virginia 20118

Re: *United States v. Jeffrey Sterling*, No. 1:10cr485 (LMB)

Dear Ed:

You had inquired about procedures to be employed in conducting interviews of potential witnesses involving classified discovery. This letter responds to your questions.

- 1. Contact with potential witnesses. We have provided you with the names and contact information for certain intelligence officials. You may contact these persons directly about an interview. As for those persons we have not fully identified (i.e., covert employees), we have agreed to contact these individuals for you (e.g., deliver a sealed letter to them on your behalf).
- 2. Location of interviews. If you intend to discuss classified information (as that term is defined in the February 10, 2011 Protective Order Regarding Classified Information) during an interview, the interview must be conducted in a secure area as defined by the Protective Order (*i.e.*, a SCIF). If the courthouse SCIF is impractical for a particular interview, we will try to make another secure area available for you.
- 3. Clearances. If you intend to discuss classified information during an interview, the potential witnesses must possess the requisite security clearances. You may not rely on the representations of the potential witness as to the status of that person's clearances. We will verify whether the potential witness has the requisite clearances. If for some reason the potential witness does not have such clearances (*e.g.*, a former employee), we will take reasonable steps to have the person cleared for the specific purpose of allowing the interview to proceed. If we are unable to obtain the necessary clearances for a potential witness, you then could file a CIPA Section 5 notice regarding that person and identify the classified information you wish to disclose during an interview. We can then proceed accordingly. If you would prefer to handle clearance issues through the Classified Information Security Officer (CISO), you may do so. The CISO can determine the status of an individual's clearances through contacts with the intelligence agencies who are

Letter to Edward B. MacMahon, Jr. May 9, 2011 Page 2 of 3

otherwise walled off from this case.

- 4. Subject matter of the interviews. During interviews with potential witnesses who possess the requisite clearances, you may discuss with the potential witness the allegations made in this case (*i.e.*, the facts alleged in the indictment) and anything disclosed to you on discovery. You may not discuss the following:
- a. The true identity of covert employees. In this regard, you may not ask the potential witness questions which would reveal, directly or indirectly, the true identity of that witness or of any other covert employee or former employee.
- b. The background of covert employees. You may not ask questions about the education, training, experience, or employment history of any covert employee or former employee, except to the extent such information has been disclosed to you in the discovery materials.
- c. Other operations. You may not ask questions about intelligence operations other than that which has been disclosed to you in the discovery materials.
- d. Redacted information. You may not ask questions about information that has been redacted from the discovery materials or any questions that would require the witness to disclose redacted information.
- 5. Memorandum of Understanding. We believe that potential witnesses currently employed by an intelligence agency and possessing the requisite clearances may have access to classified information that has been disclosed to you on discovery, and, therefore, no further authorization is required under the Protective Order to conduct interviews with such individuals. Former employees, however, must sign the Memorandum of Understanding attached to the Protective Order prior to any such interviews, and the signed memoranda must then be filed with the Court.
- 6. Notes. Any notes or other materials created during the interviews are classified and must be handled and stored in accordance with the Protective Order and Memorandum of Understanding. Before you may disclose the contents of the notes (*e.g.*, at trial), the notes must undergo a classification review, which you can coordinate through the CISO; if classified, disclosure of the contents of the notes would require a CIPA filing.
- 7. Notice to the Potential Witness. You must provide the potential witness with a copy of this letter describing the restrictions and limitations of the interview.

Letter to Edward B. MacMahon, Jr. May 9, 2011 Page 3 of 3

With these restrictions, which we have reviewed with intelligence officials, we believe that you may conduct interviews with potential witnesses consistent with the Protective Order previously entered by the Court. If you believe that you cannot satisfactorily conduct a particular interview given these restrictions, you may file a CIPA Section 5 notice identifying the classified information you intend to use during such interview, and we can then attempt to work out the parameters of the interview through the CIPA process.

We intend to file this letter with the Court prior to the May 12, 2011 CIPA hearing.

Sincerely,

NEIL H. MACBRIDE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

James L. Trump Assistant United States Attorney